## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

<pre>Texas Tribune, et. al ,</pre>		S					
	Plaintiff(s),	§ § § § §	1: <u>23</u> -CV- <u>910</u> -RP				
v.		8					
<u>Caldwe</u>	<u>ll County, et. al</u> ,	\$					
	Defendant(s).	\$					
AGREED SCHEDULING ORDER							
	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Proced	ure 16, the following	g Agreed Scheduling Order is				
issued by the Court:							
1.	A report on alternative dispute resolution	n in compliance with	Local Rule CV-88 shall be filed				
	on or before <u>September 13, 2024</u>						
2.	The parties asserting claims for relief shall submit a written offer of settlement to opposing						
	parties on or before <u>September 30, 2024</u>	, and eac	ch opposing party shall respond,				
	in writing, on or before October 15, 2024	All	offers of settlement are to be				
	private, not filed. The parties are ordered	to retain the writter	offers of settlement and				
	responses so the Court may use them in	assessing attorney's	fees and costs at the conclusion				
	of the trial.						
3.	Each party shall complete and file the att	ached "Notice Conc	terning Reference to United				
	States Magistrate Judge" on or before oc	tober 21, 2024					
4.	The parties shall file all motions to amen-	d or supplement plea	adings or to join additional				
	parties on or before March 1 2024						

- All parties asserting claims for relief shall file their designation of testifying experts and serve on all parties, but not file, the materials required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B) on or before June 21, 2024. Parties resisting claims for relief shall file their designation of testifying experts and serve on all parties, but not file, the materials required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B) on or before July 19, 2024. All parties shall file all designations of rebuttal experts and serve on all parties the material required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B) for such rebuttal experts, to the extent not already served, 15 days from the receipt of the report of the opposing expert.
- 6. An objection to the reliability of an expert's proposed testimony under Federal Rule of Evidence 702 shall be made by motion, specifically stating the basis for the objection and identifying the objectionable testimony, within **11 days** from the receipt of the written report of the expert's proposed testimony, or within **11 days** from the completion of the expert's deposition, if a deposition is taken, whichever is later.
- 7. The parties shall complete all discovery on or before August 30, 2024.
- 8. All dispositive motions shall be filed on or before <u>october 21, 2024</u> and shall be limited to 20 pages. Responses shall be filed and served on all other parties not later than 14 days after the service of the motion and shall be limited to 20 pages. Any replies shall be filed and served on all other parties not later than 7 days after the service of the response and shall be limited to 10 pages, but the Court need not wait for the reply before ruling on the motion.
- 9. The Court will set this case for final pretrial conference at a later time. The final pretrial conference shall be attended by at least one of the attorneys who will conduct the trial for

	each of the parties and by any unrepresented	each of the parties and by any unrepresented parties. The parties should consult Local Rule					
	CV-16(e) regarding matters to be filed in adva	ance of the f	inal pretrial conference. The				
	parties shall not complete the following pa	parties shall not complete the following paragraph. It will be completed by the Cour					
	at the initial pretrial conference to be scheduled by the Court.						
10.	This case is set for trial commencing at 9:30 a.m. on						
		, 20	Jury selection may be				
	conducted by a United States Magistrate	Judge the F	riday before the case is set for				
	trial. Given that (1) many cases resolve be	fore trial an	d (2) the Austin Division has				
	only one active district court judge, the Co	ourt may se	t a criminal case and several				
	civil cases for the same trial week. The Co	ourt recogni	zes the inconvenience this may	r			
	cause counsel and parties if a trial is move	ed shortly b	efore the trial date, but the				
	Court must balance that inconvenience w	ith its need	to effectively deploy limited				
	judicial resources.						
	The parties may modify the deadlines in this	Order by agr	reement, with the exception of the				
dispos	positive motions deadline and the trial date. Those	e dates are fi	rm. The Court may impose				
sanctio	ctions under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(f)	) if the partie	es do not make timely submissions				
under	ler this Order. For cases brought pursuant to the l	Freedom of	Information Act (FOIA), the				
parties	ties may instead follow the standard disclosure pro	ocess and wi	ll have an initial pretrial conferenc	ť			
only b	y by request.						
	SIGNED on		20				
		ERT PITMA ED STATE	N S DISTRICT JUDGE				

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

	<u>\$</u>	
Plaintiff(s),	\$ \$	1:CVRP
v	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	
Defendant(s).	<b>S</b>	
	NCERNING REF	
In accordance with the provisio	ons of 28 U.S.C. § 636	c(c), Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 73,
and the Local Rules of the United State	es District Court for tl	he Western District of Texas, the
following party		
through counsel		
consents to having a United	d States Magistrate Ju	dge preside over the trial in this case.
declines to consent to trial	before a United State	s Magistrate Judge.
	Respe	ctfully submitted,
	Attorr	ney for: